

# SPECIALIZATION OF MONODROMY GROUP AND $\ell$ -INDEPENDENCE

CHUN YIN HUI

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $E$  be an abelian scheme over a geometrically connected variety  $X$  defined over  $k$ , a finitely generated field over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\eta$  be the generic point of  $X$  and  $x \in X$  a closed point. If  $\mathfrak{g}_l$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}_l)_x$  are the Lie algebras of the  $l$ -adic Galois representations for abelian varieties  $E_\eta$  and  $E_x$ , then  $(\mathfrak{g}_l)_x$  is embedded in  $\mathfrak{g}_l$  by specialization. We prove that the set  $\{x \in X \text{ closed point} \mid (\mathfrak{g}_l)_x \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}_l\}$  is independent of  $l$  and confirm Conjecture 5.5 in [2].

## §0. Introduction

Let  $E$  be an abelian scheme of relative dimension  $n$  over a geometrically connected variety  $X$  defined over  $k$ , a finitely generated field over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $K$  is the function field of  $X$  and  $\eta$  is the generic point of  $X$ , then  $A := E_\eta$  is an abelian variety of dimension  $n$  defined over  $K$ . The structure morphism  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  induces at the level of *étale* fundamental groups a short exact sequence of profinite groups:

$$(0.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow \pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow 1.$$

Any closed point  $x : \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}(x)) \rightarrow X$  induces a splitting  $x : \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}(x)} \rightarrow \pi_1(X_{\mathbf{k}(x)})$  of equation (0.1) for  $\pi_1(X_{\mathbf{k}(x)})$ .

Let  $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  the absolute Galois group of  $K$ . For each prime number  $l$ , we have the Galois representation  $\rho_l : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_l(A))$  where  $T_l(A)$  is the  $l$ -adic Tate module of  $A$ . This representation is unramified over  $X$  and factors through  $\rho_l : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_l(A))$  (still denote the map by  $\rho_l$  for simplicity). The image of  $\rho_l$  is a compact  $l$ -adic Lie subgroup of  $\text{GL}(T_l(A)) \cong \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ . Any closed point  $x : \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}(x)) \rightarrow X$  induces an  $l$ -adic Galois representation by restricting  $\rho_l$  to  $x(\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}(x)})$ . This representation is isomorphic to the Galois representation of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}(x)}$  on the  $l$ -adic Tate module of  $E_x$ , the abelian variety specialized at  $x$ .

For simplicity, write  $G_l := \rho_l(\pi_1(X))$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_l := \text{Lie}(G_l)$ ,  $(G_l)_x := \rho_l(x(\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}(x)}))$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}_l)_x := \text{Lie}((G_l)_x)$ . We have  $(\mathfrak{g}_l)_x \subset \mathfrak{g}_l$ . We set  $X^{cl}$  the set of closed points of  $X$  and define the exceptional set

$$X_{\rho_{E,l}} := \{x \in X^{cl} \mid (\mathfrak{g}_l)_x \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}_l\}.$$

The main result (Theorem 1.4) of this note is that the exceptional set  $X_{\rho_{E,l}}$  is independent of  $l$ . Conjecture 5.5 in [Cadoret & Tamagawa 2] is then a direct application of our theorem.

## §1. $l$ -independence of $X_{\rho_{E,l}}$

**Theorem 1.1.** (Serre [5 §1]) Let  $A$  be an abelian variety defined over a field  $K$  finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ . If  $\rho_l : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_l(A))$  is the  $l$ -adic representation of  $\Gamma_K$ , then the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_l$  of  $\rho_l(\Gamma_K)$  is algebraic and the rank of  $\mathfrak{g}_l$  is independent of the prime  $l$ .

Since  $V_l := T_l(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l$  is a semisimple  $\Gamma_K$ -module (Faltings and Wüstholz [3 Chap. 6]), the action of the Zariski closure of  $\rho_l(\Gamma_K)$  in  $\text{GL}_{V_l}$  is also semisimple on  $V_l$ . Therefore it is a reductive algebraic group (Borel [1]). By Theorem 1.1,  $\mathfrak{g}_l$  is algebraic. So the rank of  $\mathfrak{g}_l$  is just the dimension of maximal tori. We state two more theorems:

**Theorem 1.2.** (Faltings and Wüstholz [3 Chap. 6]) Let  $A$  be an abelian variety defined over a field  $k$  finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $\Gamma_k = \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ . Then the map  $\text{End}_k(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l \rightarrow \text{End}_{G_k}(V_l(A))$  is an isomorphism.

**Theorem 1.3.** (Zarhin [6 §5]) Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space over a field of characteristic 0. Let  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}_2 \subset \text{End}(V)$  be Lie algebras of reductive subgroups of  $\text{GL}_V$ . We assume that the centralizers of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  in  $\text{End}(V)$  are equal and that the ranks of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  are equal. Then  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_2$ .

We are now able to prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** The set  $X_{\rho_{E,l}}$  is independent of  $l$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $x \in X^{cl} \setminus X_{\rho_l}$ , then  $(\mathfrak{g}_l)_x = \mathfrak{g}_l$ . It suffices to show  $\mathfrak{g}_{l'} = (\mathfrak{g}_{l'})_x := \text{Lie}(\rho_{l'}(x(\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}(x)})))$  for any prime number  $l'$ . Since base change with finite field extension of  $\mathbf{k}(x)$  does not change the Lie algebras,  $\text{End}_{\overline{k}}(E_x)$  is finitely generated, and we have the exponential map from Lie algebras to Lie groups, we may assume that  $\text{End}_{\overline{k}}(E_x) = \text{End}_k(E_x)$  and  $\text{End}_{\Gamma_k}(V_l(E_x)) = \text{End}_{(\mathfrak{g}_l)_x}(V_l(E_x))$ . We do the same for the abelian variety  $E_\eta/K$ . We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_{l'}}(\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_{l'}}(V_p(E_\eta))) &\stackrel{1}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_{l'}}(\text{End}_K(E_\eta) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_{l'}) \\ &\stackrel{2}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l}(\text{End}_K(E_\eta) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l) \stackrel{3}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l}(\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_l}(V_l(E_\eta))) \\ &\stackrel{4}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l}(\text{End}_{(\mathfrak{g}_l)_x}(V_l(E_x))) \stackrel{5}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l}(\text{End}_k(E_x) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l) \\ &\stackrel{6}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_{l'}}(\text{End}_k(E_x) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_p) \stackrel{7}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_{l'}}(\text{End}_{(\mathfrak{g}_{l'})_x}(V_{l'}(E_x))). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2 implies the first, third, fifth and seventh equality. The dimensions of  $\text{End}_K(E_\eta) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l$  and  $\text{End}_k(E_x) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l$  as vector spaces are independent of  $l$  imply the second and the sixth equality.  $\mathfrak{g}_l = (\mathfrak{g}_l)_x$  implies the fourth equality.

We have  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_{l'}}(V_{l'}(E_\eta)) = \text{End}_{(\mathfrak{g}_{l'})_x}(V_{l'}(E_x))$  because the left one is contained in the right one. In other words, the centralizer of  $(\mathfrak{g}_{l'})_x$  is equal to the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{g}_{l'}$ . We know that  $(\mathfrak{g}_{l'})_x \subset \mathfrak{g}_{l'}$  are both reductive from the semisimplicity of Galois representation (Faltings and

Wüstholz [3 Chap. 6]). By Theorem 1.1 on  $l$ -independence of reductive ranks and  $\mathfrak{g}_l = (\mathfrak{g}_l)_x$ , we have:

$$\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}_{l'}) = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}_l) = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}_l)_x = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}_{l'})_x.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.3 we conclude that  $(\mathfrak{g}_{l'})_x = \mathfrak{g}_{l'}$  and thus prove the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.5 (Conjecture 5.5 [2]).** Let  $k$  be a field finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $X$  a smooth, separated, geometrically connected curve over  $k$  with quotient field  $K$ . Let  $\eta$  be the generic point of  $X$  and  $E$  an abelian scheme over  $X$ . Let  $\rho_l : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_l(E_\eta))$  be the  $l$ -adic representation. Then there exists a finite subset  $X_E \subset X(k)$  such that for any prime  $l$ ,  $X_{\rho_{E,l}} = X_E$ , where  $X_{\rho_{E,l}}$  is the set of all  $x \in X(k)$  such that  $(G_l)_x$  is not open in  $G_l := \rho_l(\pi_1(X))$ .

**Proof.** The uniform open image theorem for GSRP representations [2 Thm. 1.1] implies the finiteness of  $X_{\rho_{E,l}}$ . Theorem 1.4 implies  $l$ -independence.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.6.** Let  $A$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $n \geq 1$  defined over a field  $K$  finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  denote the absolute Galois group of  $K$ . For each prime number  $l$ , we have the Galois representation  $\rho_l : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_l(A))$  where  $T_l(A)$  is the  $l$ -adic Tate module of  $A$ . If the Mumford-Tate conjecture for abelian varieties over number fields is true, then there is an algebraic subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_{2n}$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\rho_l(\Gamma_K)^\circ$  is open in  $H(\mathbb{Q}_l)$  for all  $l$ .

**Proof.** There exists an abelian scheme  $E$  over a variety  $X$  defined over a number field  $k$  such that the function field of  $X$  is  $K$  and  $E_\eta = A$  where  $\eta$  is the generic point of  $X$  (see, e.g., Milne [4 §20]). By [5 §1], there exists a closed point  $x \in X$  such that  $(\mathfrak{g}_l)_x = \mathfrak{g}_l$ . Therefore, we have  $(\mathfrak{g}_l)_x = \mathfrak{g}_l$  for any prime  $l$  by Theorem 1.4. Since all Lie algebras are algebraic (Theorem 1.1), if we take  $H$  as the Mumford-Tate group of  $E_x$ ,  $\rho_l(\Gamma_K)^\circ$  is then open in  $H(\mathbb{Q}_l)$  for all  $l$ .  $\square$

**Question.** Is the algebraic group  $H$  in Corollary 1.6 isomorphic to the Mumford-Tate group of the abelian variety  $A$ ?

**Acknowledgement.** This work grew out of an attempt to prove Conjecture 5.5 in [2] suggested by my advisor, Professor Michael Larsen. I am grateful to him for the suggestion, guidance and encouragement. I would also like to thank Anna Cadoret for her useful comments on an earlier version of this note.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Borel, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 126 (2nd ed.), Springer-Verlag 1991.
2. A. Cadoret and A. Tamagawa, *A uniform open image theorem for  $l$ -adic representations I*, preprint.
3. G. Faltings and G. Wüstholz, *Rational Points*, Seminar Bonn/Wuppertal 1983-1984, Vieweg 1984.
4. J. S. Milne, *“Jacobian Varieties”. Arithmetic Geometry*. New York: Springer-Verlag 1986.
5. J.-P. Serre, *Letter to K. A. Ribet*, Jan. 1, 1981.
6. Y. G. Zarhin, *Abelian varieties having a reduction of  $K3$  type*, Duke Math. J. 65 (1992), 511-527.

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA  
E-mail address: `chhui@umail.iu.edu`